

IN THE CLAIMS:

Please cancel claims 1-2, 10-11 and 19-20, and amend the claims as follows:

1. (Canceled)

2. (Canceled)

3. (Currently Amended) ~~The method of claim 1, further comprising:~~ A method for providing user-specific error analysis to identify as problem words any correctly spelled words of a document that are improperly used, the method comprising:

~~prior to the step of allowing,~~ recording contents of the document as pre-edited contents;

allowing a user to replace each problem word contained in the document with a respective replacement word;

~~subsequent to the step of allowing and prior to the step of storing,~~ recording the contents of the document as post-edited contents; and

comparing the pre-edited contents to the post-edited contents to identify the problem words and the replacement words; and

storing the user-replaced problem words and replacement words to a first data structure, wherein each user-replaced problem word is associated with the respective replacement word in an individual record of the first data structure.

4. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 3, wherein the steps of recording comprise separately storing the pre-edited contents and post-edited contents to a second data structure, wherein each record of the second data structure includes a pre-edited word field, a post-edited word field and a changed indication field.

5. (Canceled)

6. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 4 [[1]], further comprising assigning a priority value to each problem word.
7. (Original) The method of claim 6, wherein the priority value is determined according to a number of times a particular problem word is replaced by the user with the respective replacement word.
8. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 3 [[1]], further comprising assigning a formatting definition to each problem word for use in identifying problem words on a display device.
9. (Original) The method of claim 8, wherein the formatting definition is selected from one of a color, a shading, a textual modification, an underline and any combination thereof.
10. (Canceled)
11. (Canceled)
12. (Currently Amended) ~~The computer readable medium of claim 10, further comprising:~~ A computer readable medium containing a software program which, when executed by a processor, causes the processor to perform a method for providing user-specific error analysis to identify as problem words any correctly spelled words of a document that are improperly used, the method comprising:
 ~~prior to the step of allowing,~~ recording contents of the document as pre-edited contents;
 allowing a user to replace each problem word contained in the document with a respective replacement word;
 ~~subsequent to the step of allowing and prior to the step of storing,~~ recording the contents of the document as post-edited contents; and

comparing the pre-edited contents to the post-edited contents to identify the problem words and the replacement words; and

storing the user-replaced problem words and replacement words to a first data structure, wherein each user-replaced problem word is associated with the respective replacement word in an individual record of the first data structure.

13. (Currently Amended) The computer readable medium of claim 12, wherein the steps of recording comprise separately storing the pre-edited contents and post-edited contents to a second data structure, wherein each record of the second data structure includes a pre-edited word field, a post-edited word field and a changed indication field.

14. (Canceled)

15. (Currently Amended) The computer readable medium of claim 13 ~~[[10]]~~, further comprising assigning a priority value to each problem word.

16. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 15, wherein the priority value is determined according to a number of times a particular problem word is replaced by the user with the respective replacement word.

17. (Currently Amended) The computer readable medium of claim 12 ~~[[10]]~~ further comprising, assigning a formatting definition to each problem word for use in identifying problem words on a display device.

18. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 17, wherein the formatting definition is selected from one of a color, a shading, a textual modification, an underline and any combination thereof.

19. (Canceled)

20. (Canceled)

21. (Currently Amended) ~~The computer of claim 19, further comprising:~~ A computer comprising a memory device, a processor configured to access the memory device and configure to execute a method for providing user-specific error analysis to identify as problem words any correctly spelled words of a document that are improperly used, the method comprising:

~~prior to the step of allowing,~~ recording contents of the document as pre-edited contents;

allowing a user to replace each problem word contained in the document with a respective replacement word;

~~subsequent to the step of allowing and prior to the step of storing,~~ recording the contents of the document as post-edited contents; and

comparing the pre-edited contents to the post-edited contents to identify the problem words and the replacement words; and

storing the user-replaced problem words and replacement words to a first data structure, wherein each user-replaced problem word is associated with the respective replacement word in an individual record of the first data structure.

22. (Currently Amended) The computer of claim 21, wherein the steps of recording comprise separately storing the pre-edited contents and post-edited contents to a second data structure, wherein each record of the second data structure includes a pre-edited word field, a post-edited word field and a changed indication field.

23. (Canceled)

24. (Currently Amended) The computer of claim 22 ~~[[19]]~~, further comprising assigning a priority value to each problem word.

25. (Original) The computer of claim 24, wherein the priority value is determined according to a number of times a particular problem word is replaced by the user with the respective replacement word.

26. (Currently Amended) The computer of claim 21 [[19]] further comprising, assigning a formatting definition to each problem word for use in identifying problem words on a display device.

27. (Original) The computer of claim 26, wherein the formatting definition is selected from one of a color, a shading, a textual modification, an underline and any combination thereof.

28. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 3 [[1]], further comprising:
receiving a user identification; and
storing the user identification in association with the first data structure.

29. (Currently Amended) The computer readable medium of claim 12 [[10]], further comprising:
receiving a user identification; and
storing the user identification in association with the first data structure.

30. (Currently Amended) The computer of claim 21 [[19]], further comprising:
receiving a user identification; and
storing the user identification in association with the first data structure.

Please add the following new claims:

31. (New) The method of claim 3, further comprising accessing the first data structure to identify problem words in another document.

32. (New) The computer readable medium of claim 12, further comprising accessing the first data structure to identify problem words in another document.

33. (New) The computer of claim 21, further comprising accessing the first data structure to identify problem words in another document.